

The **California State Historical Building Code(CHBC), Sections 18950 to 18961 of Division 13, Part 2.7 of Health and Safety Code (H&SC)** Health and Safety Code, a part of **California Law, Section 18955** of the CHBC defines a "qualified historical building or structure" as "any structure or property, collection of structures, and their associated sites deemed of importance to the history, architecture, or culture of an area by an appropriate local or state governmental jurisdiction. This shall include structures on existing or future national, state or local historical registers or official inventories, such as the National Register of Historic Places, State Historical Landmarks, State Points of Historical Interest, and city or county registers or inventories of historical or architecturally significant sites, places, historic districts, or landmarks. This shall also include places, locations, or sites identified on these historical registers or official inventories and deemed of importance to the history, architecture, or culture of an area by an appropriate local or state governmental jurisdiction.

1. HISTORIC PRESERVATION OF OUR DOWNTOWN. IF ELECTED TO THE MOUNTAIN VIEW CITY COUNCIL I WOULD OPPOSE ANY DEVELOPMENT PROJECT THAT WOULD INVOLVE THE REMOVAL AND/ OR DESTRUCTION OF HISTORICAL BUILDINGS (AS DEFINED BY THE ABOVE CALIFORNIA STATE HISTORICAL BUILDING CODE) WHICH CURRENTLY EXIST WITHIN THE DOWNTOWN PRECISE PLAN.

\_\_\_\_\_ Initials

I believe moving historic buildings, in the proper context, may be appropriate.

2. LOCAL CONTROL FOR LOCAL DEVELOPMENT. IF ELECTED TO THE MOUNTAIN VIEW CITY COUNCIL I WOULD ACTIVELY OPPOSE LEGISLATION SUCH AS SB827 WHICH SOUGHT TO ELIMINATE CALIFORNIA CITIES' LOCAL ZONING AND REPLACE IT WITH STATE CONTROLLED ZONING.

LS Initials

3. A CAP ON NEW OFFICE DEVELOPMENT. IF ELECTED TO THE MOUNTAIN VIEW CITY COUNCIL I WOULD ACT TO ENSURE A LAW THAT CAPS THE ALLOWABLE SQUARE FOOTAGE OF NEW OFFICE DEVELOPMENT IN MOUNTAIN VIEW FOR A SPECIFIC PERIOD OF YEARS TO HELP ADDRESS THE OFFICE-HOUSING IMBALANCE.

\_\_\_\_\_ Initials

I prefer a policy that links office development to housing, using projected jobs minus employed residents as a metric. (continued on next page)

4. NEW DEVELOPMENTS REQUIRE NEW PARKS. IF ELECTED TO THE MOUNTAIN VIEW CITY COUNCIL I WOULD ACT TO ENSURE THAT OUR INCREASING CITY POPULATION HAS ACCESS TO ENOUGH PARKS AND OPEN SPACE BY REQUIRING LARGE DEVELOPMENTS TO DEDICATE SPACE IN MOUNTAIN VIEW FOR PUBLIC PARKS AS PART OF EVERY DEVELOPMENT PROPOSAL INSTEAD OF PAYING IN LIEU FEES.

\_\_\_\_\_ Initials

While space is preferable to fee, there are some projects where this is impractical. We have to look at neighborhoods holistically.

5. TRANSPARENCY AT ALL LEVELS OF THE DEVELOPMENT PROCESS. IF ELECTED TO THE MOUNTAIN VIEW CITY COUNCIL I WOULD ACT TO ENSURE THE HIGHEST LEVEL OF TRANSPARENCY FOR EVERY DEVELOPMENT PROJECT IN THE CITY BEGINNING WITH THEIR FIRST PUBLIC CONSIDERATION. THIS WOULD INCLUDE NOTIFICATION OF THE DEVELOPMENT TO ALL HOMES AND BUSINESSES WITHIN 1000 FT., 3D MODELS OF EVERY PROPOSAL, PROMINENTLY DISPLAYED, AT CITY HALL, SCALE DRAWINGS POSTED AT THE PUBLIC LIBRARY, AND ONLINE POSTINGS ON NEIGHBORHOOD WEBSITES SUCH AS NEXTDOOR.

\_\_\_\_\_ Initials

While I support larger notification zones based upon whom might be affected, this proposal is too prescriptive.

Date: 9/16/18 Printed Name: Lenny Siegel Signature: 